is, did Golf carry the May 3rd? Yes, it must be on Golf network.

All right. So, comparing this national data to this local data, which I assume is what you're trying to do, I do not see the May 3rd day of Quail Hollow on the 48 markets.

Q So, there's at least one top rated PGA event on Golf Channel that wasn't in your analysis. Correct?

A Well, you characterized it as a top event. It would have to be a top event within the 48 markets, not what you're showing me here, which is 210 markets. This are so disparate in the sets of data, as a researcher the first thing I want is comparability of sources, comparability of sources. And these are based on two completely different samples.

Q But you would agree that that event, which according to Neilsen national ratings is a top rated event, isn't in your analysis. Correct?

Page 772 1 which is a four-page document, and you're 2 talking about page 3 of that four-page 3 document. Am I reading that right? 4 MR. TOSCANO: That's right. I'm 5 also talking now about page 1 of Exhibit 924, 6 where Mr. Brooks, or Tennis Channel has ranked 7 what they call the top rated events on Golf 8 Channel and Tennis Channel. 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: But that's -- did I 10 get the last one right? 11 MR. TOSCANO: Yes. 12 JUDGE SIPPEL: This transcript is 13 going to be awfully difficult to follow the way you're doing this. 14 MR. TOSCANO: Yes, you got the 15 16 last one right. 17 THE WITNESS: Could I ask a

THE WITNESS: Could I ask a question about this document, since I'm seeing it for the first time?

JUDGE SIPPEL: Which document are

18

19

20

21

22

you talking about?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. I'm

talking about page 3 of --

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

JUDGE SIPPEL: 924.

THE WITNESS: 924.

BY MR. TOSCANO:

Q You're telling me that this is the first time you've seen that document. I will represent to you that that was produced to us by the Tennis Channel as among your relied upon materials for this analysis.

I'm seeing it here. It may have been buried in the documents. My question is this, and I would have to study the whole document to understand this, is this a list of all of the Golf Channel events that I looked at, or only of those that fell within the top 100 that I compiled a table of?

Q Mr. Brooks, these are your relied upon materials.

- A Right.
- 21 Q Why don't you tell me.
- 22 A Well, this is four pages. I don't

know how many pages were in total in the spreadsheet, or the workbook.

Q I will represent to you that that is the complete spreadsheet that was produced to us on this -- in this form as part of your relied upon materials.

A Well, and there are other spreadsheets, as well, which aren't showing here, that aren't pointing out -- the reason I'm making this point is there seems to be a fairly limited number of events here. And I know that I looked at something like 250 Golf Channel events in the 48 markets. So, my concern is whether some of these that you are pointing out here may not be on this page, but fell off this page because they were lower rated within the 48 markets.

- Q Well, let me do this another way.
- A Sure.

Q If you look at the first page of Exhibit 924, do you see anywhere in your ranking of the top rated events on Golf

Channel and Tennis Channel this 2009 Quail Hollow Championship telecast on May 3rd?

2.0

A Actually, the first -- the second page is a continuation of the first page. And on the first and second pages together it only goes down to 134. The full list went deeper than that. So, what I'm questioning, and I don't know the answer to this when looking at this, whether -- and I know that I saw the full list, that there are events below number 134 which may, indeed, correspond to this.

And I'm particularly suspicious of that, because I don't know why, if we included Quail Hollow, we wouldn't -- and included days one and two, but not day three. Every event that I saw had all the days of the event there.

Q But that is not a question that you asked to the Tennis Channel when they provided you with the list on page 3 of Exhibit 924.

A Well, I saw more than this from them. That's why I satisfied myself that they

were doing it right. I'm seeing part of it here. Do you understand what I'm saying? I'm seeing only down to number 134, and there were more beyond 134 that in this deck I'm not seeing.

Q Mr. Brooks, in preparation for testifying about your top rated events analysis today, did you go back and look at your relied upon materials?

A Sure, in a general case, but I can't say that I examined every page of every spreadsheet in detail.

Q But you didn't review the documents, the list of PGA Tours that you relied upon to get ready to testify today. Right?

A No, I don't think that was part of the -- I looked at it when I first got it, but I don't think it was part of my preparation for today, necessarily.

Q So, that's why you can't tell whether or not these tournaments aren't on

your list. Correct?

A I can't attest to why -- well, it's actually one day of a tournament. Why this day of a tournament isn't here, but I can attest to the fact that there's a longer list than this of events that were considered on a 48 market basis.

Q Is it your testimony that you didn't see this document, Comcast Exhibit 924, in preparation for your testimony?

A No, that's not my testimony.

Q So, you did see this document.

A I saw part -- I saw this portion of a larger document.

Q So, it's your testimony there's a larger document that supports your top rated events analysis?

A Yes.

Q And has that document been produced to Comcast in this litigation?

A I don't know. I sent it to counsel. I don't know if it's in here or not.

Page 778 1 JUDGE SIPPEL: Is there a title to 2 this, this 924, does it have a title on it? 3 All it says is Golf v. Tennis. 4 MR. TOSCANO: Your Honor, this is 5 how -- it was produced to us as a spreadsheet, 6 just the rows of --7 JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly like this? MR. TOSCANO: Yes. 8 9 JUDGE SIPPEL: Exactly like this? 10 MR. TOSCANO: Exactly like this. 11 JUDGE SIPPEL: With no heading on 12 it? 13 MR. TOSCANO: Just like this. 14 THE WITNESS: Your Honor, there 15 are some headings underneath. The spreadsheet 16 itself has a name, which unfortunately doesn't 17 print out when they're printed from the 18 spreadsheet. 19 JUDGE SIPPEL: Oh. I quess we 20 can't have everything. 21 (Simultaneous speech.) 22 JUDGE SIPPEL: I don't want to --

I hate to intervene in cross-examination like this, but the only way -- it seems to me the only way you could get the information out of this witness that you're looking for is if, as in his deposition you had -- you knew what he was going to testify to in a general way, and you told him to bring every lick of paper that he used, that compiled -- his work paper or his research paper, sit down in a room for half a day, maybe all day, maybe two days, and go over this stuff that way.

What you're doing is giving it to him, as I'm hearing it. He's saying you're giving it to him piecemeal, the result of which he cannot fully answer your questions.

Now, I'm not trying to put words in his mouth, or anything, but I'm just telling you up front that that's how I feel, that's how it's looking to me right now.

MR. TOSCANO: Well, Your Honor, I didn't have that opportunity to sit down with him in a room. And, in fact, this is what we

understand to be what your relied upon in doing this analysis. This was what -- among all the documents that were produced to us, this is the document that matches up with his top rated events analysis.

JUDGE SIPPEL: You mean the 924 document.

MR. TOSCANO: Yes, 924. And that's why I'm surprised that Mr. Brooks is testifying that he didn't look at this in preparation for his testimony. And that is not, in fact, the document he relied on.

MR. SCHMIDT: Your Honor, I'm not sure that's an accurate representation of what Mr. Brooks said. I'll note that he was deposed by Mr. Toscano on many of these topics, and many of these spreadsheets. I was not there, but I've, obviously, read the transcript for 383 pages.

JUDGE SIPPEL: Well, I mean, I'm just giving you my observation for what it's worth. But whatever you can glean from the

22

Correct?

|    | Page 784                                       |
|----|--|
| 1  | A On the 1st of February, yes.                 |
| 2  | Q And that is a six-hour block of              |
| 3  | time for the Australian Open. Correct?         |
| 4  | A 9a to 3p, that's correct.                    |
| 5  | Q And that's not particular matches.           |
| 6  | Correct?                                       |
| 7  | A That's my understanding, what the            |
| 8  | coverage was by the Tennis Channel on that     |
| 9  | day.   |
| 10 | Q Okay. And let's look again, for              |
| 11 | example, at the next one, the next Tennis      |
| 12 | Channel event on that list at 20. And that's   |
| 13 | a seven-hour block of time from the U.S. Open. |
| 14 | Correct?                                       |
| 15 | A Yes, which would have been the               |
| 16 | coverage by the Tennis Channel on this date.   |
| 17 | Q So, if you now look at the 2010              |
| 18 | analysis, do you see that the Tennis Channel   |
| 19 | events are broken down into individual tennis  |
| 20 | matches? Do you see that?                      |
|    |  |

again, on discrete days. For example, the

Well, I'm seeing that they're,

21

22

Α

first one, which comes in at -- well, it doesn't give the rank here, but line 17 is on 9/5 from 7:15 p.m. to 11 p.m.

Q And that's a single match, not a block of time. Correct?

A I don't know, but I would assume it was. Yes, it's prime time.

Q But doesn't it also give the players in that match for each of the Tennis Channel matches?

A Yes, that's why I assume it was probably a single match. Yes.

Q And, in fact, the time of these events is shorter than the blocks of time in Comcast Exhibit 924 for the Tennis events in 2009. Correct?

A Well, I would have to analyze them individually to agree or disagree with that.

I'm not sure, just looking at this.

Q So, my question to you is, why did the methodology change from 2009, when blocks of time were used, to 2010 when only

individual matches were used?

A The rule that I had was that it should be whatever the coverage was on that day. So, if it happened to be in one time they had two matches, and the other they had one match, that would be legitimate. It was whatever they carried, basically.

In terms of this document that I'm looking at here, it appears to be discrete days. And I have to examine it all to be sure of that, so it seems to me what was carried on 9/5, what was carried on 1/30, what was carried on 9/10 and so forth, whatever that happened to be.

Q But to be clear, your instructions to the Tennis Channel were to give you the blocks of time as they were on Tennis Channel's programming schedules. You didn't tell them to break it up into matches. Right?

A No, I told them to give me what ran on that day.

MR. TOSCANO: Your Honor, I'd like

Q So, you didn't actually look at the Tennis Channel schedules from 2009 to make sure that Tennis Channel had followed your instructions regarding how to break up the programming in the top rated events analysis for that time. Correct?

17

18

19

20

21

22

A I looked -- I spot checked some schedules, but I did not look at them all.

That was my normal procedure, actually.

MR. TOSCANO: Give me one second.

I think --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

## BY MR. TOSCANO:

Q And what does it -- what do you mean when you say you "spot checked it?"

Well, I told them both for this Α purpose and for another purpose of proportion of schedule, it was devoted to different types of programming, that I wanted to see what the backup schedules were. I wanted to make sure that they, in fact, had backup schedules, and they seemed to comport with the kind of data that they were giving me. So, I reviewed There were, in fact, 52 weeks of that. scheduling on a disk I think they sent me. And it did seem to show the kinds of preponderance of live events that I expected, but I did not go back and check individual days against individual days in the way that

1 you're explaining here.

Q Do you see looking at Comcast

Exhibit 922, second page, the list of what you treated as the top rated events, because that's what Tennis Channel gave you. Do you see on line 20 there is Bellucci-Nadal match from the French Open on May 31st, 2010.

A Could I take a minute just to look at this, because this is something that I haven't seen for quite some time. Could you give me just a moment to look at this?

O Sure. Of course.

A If you wouldn't mind. Okay. Thank you very much.

Q So, again, directing your attention on Comcast Exhibit 922 to row 20, number 20, is a Tennis Channel French Open match, Round of 16 Bellucci-Nadal broadcast on May 31st, 2010. Correct?

A Yes, that what it says.

Q And Tennis Channel has broken this broadcast into the 10:15 to 12 mean portion

|    | Page 79  |
|----|--|
| 1  | that corresponds to that single match.         |
| 2  | Correct?                                       |
| 3  | A Is that the the printing is a                |
| 4  | little obscure here. Is that the first column  |
| 5  | on the colored schedule that you're giving me? |
| 6  | Q That's exactly what I was going to           |
| 7  | ask you, whether if you then look at Comcast   |
| 8  | Exhibit 919, the actual Tennis Channel program |
| 9  | schedule for May 31st, 2010 doesn't Tennis     |
| 10 | Channel, itself, have a large block of time    |
| 11 | that is longer, significantly longer than the  |
| 12 | match that they provided to you?               |
| 13 | A Again, this is very difficult to             |
| 14 | read, it may be just my eyesight.              |
| 15 | JUDGE SIPPEL: This is on what,                 |
| 16 | 919?   |
| 17 | THE WITNESS: This is 919. That's               |
| 18 | correct. But I believe that's 5/31 on the      |
| 19 | first column, it's a Monday. I can see that.   |
| 20 | And I assume what you're referring to is the   |

BY MR. TOSCANO:

21

22

orange shaded event for the times for that.

Q Actually, I'm referring to the
blue shaded event on the top that goes from 6
a.m. to noon.

A I was getting there. Sorry. The

A I was getting there. Sorry. The blue at the top and the orange further down.

And is what you're asking, why the blue -- are you saying that the orange is included, but the blue is not?

Q No, I'm simply saying that the blue is a six-hour block of time that the Tennis Channel has taken a portion of for your top rated events analysis. Do you see that?

A Well, no, that's not the portion,

I don't believe. That's in the early morning.

Right? So, in what I'm seeing over here on

the other thing you've given me is from 10:15

a.m. until noon time. Right?

Q Right. And --

A So, this starts it looks like 6 a.m. at the morning there?

Q Yes, and it goes to noon, the blue spot. Do you see the blue spot goes down to

1 noon?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A Right.

Q So, on Tennis Channel's actual program schedule, they have a six-hour block of time, just like the methodology that you used in 2009. But they have taken a single match and given you that for your 2010 top rated events analysis. Do you see that?

Α This is a good example of why researchers need to look at data more completely than in pieces such as this. don't -- I would have to compare not only for this, first of all, in a readable form I would hope, with what was used in 2010. But I would have to look at the comparable schedules for 2009 for the year -- for the list of ratings that were produced for 2009 to determine whatever the labeling was, names of players aren't given here, but I don't know if they were, how this would line up with this. Ι don't know if this schedule, which you're showing me for one particular day, is

replicable for all of these or not. I don't know if what was done in 2010 -- all of those things, I would need to compare in order to agree with your question. I can't assume that that's correct on these pieces of data.

Q So, you don't know one way or the other whether Tennis Channel followed your instructions regarding how to select events in 2010. Correct?

A From these pieces of data, I can't answer that.

Q And not from anything else you did as part of your top rated events analysis.

Correct?

A Sure, I could go back in my files in front of me, unfortunately, we would probably have to take a recess for a couple of hours, to dig out all of those schedules, and all of these lists and make line-by-line comparisons for both years, not just for one year.

JUDGE SIPPEL: I want to just note

Neal R. Gross & Co., Inc. 202-234-4433

I asked you a number of questions about how

you had conducted your 2009 top rated events

analysis, and what you had relied upon, and

20

21

22

you weren't able to answer those questions. Do you recall that?

A I would have to refresh myself on exactly what the question was, and what the answer was. It was long deposition.

Q Can I direct your attention to page 194 --

A Sure.

2.0

Q -- of your deposition. Do you see halfway down that page --

A Right.

"Please take a look at what's been marked as
Exhibit 36 and tell us what that is?" And you
responded, "It's headed Golf v. Tennis, and
there's a subhead, Tennis Channel/Golf Channel
Program Ranker on the left, and Tennis
Channel/Golf Channel Program Ranker on the
right, too." And you continued, "Do you see
that that describes Comcast Exhibit 924, the
document with the green highlighting that we
were talking about earlier."